Little Rock Board of Directors Meeting November 18, 2014 6:00 PM

The Board of Directors of the City of Little Rock, Arkansas, met in a regular meeting with Vice-Mayor Doris Wright presiding. City Clerk Susan Langley called the roll with the following Directors present: Hendrix; Richardson; Hurst; Cazort; Hines; Wyrick; Fortson; Kumpuris (enrolled at 6:15 PM); Adcock; and Vice-Mayor Wright. Absent: Mayor Stodola. Director Gene Fortson delivered the invocation, which was followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

Vice-Mayor Wright recognized Morgan Johnson, from Scout Troop 24, who was present in the audience working on his Citizenship in the Community Badge.

ADDITIONS

- **M-1 MOTION**: To approve the minutes from the September 16, 2014, Little Rock City Board of Directors Meeting.
- **M-2 RESOLUTION:** To authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract with Dayco Construction, Inc., for the construction of the Arkansas Heritage Farm Project; and for other purposes. *Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: To authorize the City Manager to execute a contract with Dayco Construction, Inc., for the construction of the Arkansas Heritage Farm Project for the amount of \$756,000 plus 20% for contingencies for a total amount not to exceed \$907,200. Construction will include site preparation, substrate, a new contact barn, patio area, play structure, shade, jungle fowl building, chicken coops and the renovation of an existing barn.

WITHDRAWAL

6. RESOLUTION: To approve the resolution of the Metrocentre Improvement District No. 1 Board of Commissioners to increase the supplemental levy of the District from .50% to 1.25%; and for other purposes. *Staff recommends approval.*

Director Cazort made the motion, seconded by Director Hines, to add Items M-1 and M-2 to the Consent Agenda and to withdraw Item 6. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, Items M-1 and M-2 were added to the Consent Agenda and Item 6 was withdrawn.

CONSENT AGENDA (Items 1 – 5, 7 - 12 and M-1 & M-2)

- **1. MOTION**: To approve the minutes from the August 19, 2014, and September 2, 2014, Little Rock City Board of Directors Meetings.
- 2. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 14,003; G-23-450</u>: To set December 2, 2014, as the date of hearing on the request to abandon that portion of the West 8th Street right-of-way, located between the Interstate 630 west on-ramp and Cross Street, the south 140 feet of

Ringo Street right-of-way located between Blocks 263 and 289, Original City of Little Rock, Arkansas, the south thirty-eight (38) feet of the Cross Street right-of-way, located between Blocks 289 and 306, in the Original City of Little Rock, Arkansas, and the west half of the twenty (20)-foot wide alley right-of-way, located within Block 289, in the Original City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes.

- 3. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 14,004; G-23-451</u>: To set December 2, 2014, as the date of hearing on the request to abandon the north fifteen (15) feet of the eighty (80)-foot wide Hawthorne Road right-of-way, adjacent to 4916 Hawthorne Road, in the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes.
- **4.** RESOLUTION NO. 14,005; Z-5534-B: To set December 2, 2014, as the date of hearing on the appeal of the Planning Commission's recommendation of denial for a Planned Zoning District titled Regions Financial Corporation Short-Form PD-O, located at 6001 R Street, in the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes.
- **5. RESOLUTION NO. 14,006**: To authorize the execution and submission of a questionnaire or questionnaires in connection with the Securities and Exchange Commission's Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperation Initiative; and for other purposes.

Synopsis: This resolution gives the City Manager authority to submit questionnaires in connection with the Security and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Municipalities Continuing Disclosure Cooperation Initiative (MCDC) by the December 1, 2014, deadline if it is determined by the City Manager, after consultation with the City Attorney and the Finance Department Director, that self-reporting is necessary and in the best interest of the City.

7. RESOLUTION NO. 14,007: To authorize the City Manager to enter into a Construction Contract with Western Millwright Services, Inc., in the amount of \$113,304.60, for Dorado Beach Drive Drainage Improvements-1; and for other purposes. (Funding from the 2012 3/8-Cent Capital Improvement Sales Tax Funding) Staff recommends approval.

Synopsis: Authorizes the City Manager to execute an agreement with Western Millwright Services, Inc., for Dorado Beach Drive Drainage Improvements-1, Bid #14-178. Project is located in Ward 5.

8. RESOLUTION NO. 14,008: To authorize the Mayor and Board of Directors of the City of Little Rock, Arkansas, to certify City liens attached on private property for work performed on private property and to forward to the Pulaski County Treasurer's Office for inclusion in the property owner's annual tax bill; and for other purposes. *Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: On occasion, the City attaches liens for work it performs on private property. This lien information must then be compiled and sent to the Pulaski County Treasurer's Office for inclusion in the owner's annual tax bill. This is an effort to recoup some of the costs for that work which the City performs. At this time, the City is seeking to certify 110 liens for a total amount of \$28,954.78.

9. RESOLUTION NO. 14,009: To transfer property by Quitclaim Deed under the Land Bank Side Lot Program; and for other purposes. *Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: In an effort to convey underutilized parcels of property in the Land Bank's inventory, staff would like to transfer the property located at 4208 West 14th Street to the owner of the neighboring property under the Land Bank Side Lot Program. Property Details: The property at 4208 West 14th Street is a 7,000 square-foot unimproved parcel that shares a common boundary line on the east with the property at 4204 West 14th Street.

10. RESOLUTION NO. 14,010: To authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract with Fishnet Security, in the amount of \$88,372.67, for the replacement of checkpoint hardware, licenses and support for next generation firewalls and firewalls for broadband locations; and for other purposes. *Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: To purchase CheckPoint hardware, licenses and support for Next Generation firewalls and firewalls for broadband locations.

11. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 14,011</u>: To amend Resolution No. 13,892 (May 20, 2014) to authorize the City Manager to execute a revised Memorandum of Understanding with Theressa Hoover United Methodist Church; and for other purposes. (Funding from the 2012 3/8-Cent Capital Improvement Sales Tax Funding) Staff recommends approval.

Synopsis: The Little Rock Police Department seeks approval to authorize the City Manager to execute a revised Memorandum of Understanding with Theressa Hoover United Methodist Church, which revision specifies the time provide additional parking for the 12th Street Station; to authorize a twenty-five (25)-year lease from Theressa Hoover United Methodist Church for certain parcels of land near the 12th Street Station; to authorize the purchase of Lot 6, Block 6, W.B. Worthen's addition; and to authorize the sale of Lot 1, Block 5, W.B. Worthen's Addition.

12. RESOLUTION NO. 14,012: To authorize the City Manager to renew the contract for dental care coverage for City employees with Delta Dental Plan of Arkansas for a one (1)-year period effective January 1, 2015; and for other purposes. *Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: Delta Dental Plan of Arkansas, the incumbent provider of dental care coverage for City Employees, has offered a renewal effective January 1, 2015, with a composite rate increase of 5.4%. This renewal represents the sixth year of a maximum seven (7)-year policy period noted in the most recent RFP.

- **M-1 MOTION**: To approve the minutes from the September 16, 2014, Little Rock City Board of Directors Meeting.
- **M-2 RESOLUTION NO, 14,013:** To authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract with Dayco Construction, Inc., for the construction of the Arkansas Heritage Farm Project; and for other purposes. *Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: To authorize the City Manager to execute a contract with Dayco Construction, Inc., for the construction of the Arkansas Heritage Farm Project for the amount of \$756,000 plus 20% for contingencies for a total amount not to exceed \$907,200. Construction will include site preparation, substrate, a new contact barn, patio area, play structure, shade, jungle fowl building, chicken coops and the renovation of an existing barn.

Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Fortson, to approve the Consent Agenda. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the Consent Agenda was approved.

GROUPED ITEMS (Items 13 – 19)

13. ORDINANCE NO. 20,948; A-324: To accept the Sach's Suburban Tract 24 Annexation, to add certain lands to the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes. Planning Commission: 10 ayes; 0 nays; and 1 absent. Staff recommends approval. Synopsis: The annexation of some 11.4 acres west of the Kanis-Kirby Roads intersection in the 14000-14200 Blocks of Kanis Road to the City of Little Rock.

14. ORDINANCE NO. 20,949; LU14-12-01: To amend the Land Use Plan Amendment at the southeast corner of Colonel Glenn and Talley Roads, in the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes. *Planning Commission: 10 ayes; 0 nays; and 1 absent. Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: To approve a Land Use Plan change at the southeast corner of Colonel Glenn and Talley Roads (LU14-12-01) from Light Industrial to Commercial.

15. ORDINANCE NO. 20,950; Z-7508-B: To reclassify property located in the City of Little Rock, Arkansas, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes. *Planning Commission: 10 ayes; 0 nays; and 1 absent. Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: The owner of the 4.44-acre property located at the southeast corner of Colonel Glenn Road and Talley Road is requesting that the zoning be reclassified from R-2, Single-Family District, to C-4, Open Display District.

16. ORDINANCE NO. 20,951; Z-8037-A: To approve a Planned Zoning Development and establish a Planned Commercial District titled John Barrow Appearance Center Short-Form PCD, located on the northeast corner of 32nd Street and John Barrow Road, Little Rock, Arkansas, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes. *Planning Commission: 9 ayes; 0 nays; and 2 absent. Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: The request is to reinstate an expired PCD, Planned Commercial District, to allow for the construction of a carwash and automobile detail shop.

17. ORDINANCE NO. 20,952; Z-8975: To reclassify property located in the City of Little Rock, Arkansas, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes. *Planning Commission: 10 ayes; 0 nays; and 1 absent. Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: The owner of the 0.30-acre property located at 2000/2002 Junior Deputy Road is requesting that the zoning be reclassified from R-2, Single-Family District, to R-4, Two-Family District.

18. ORDINANCE NO. 20,953: To condemn certain structures in the City of Little Rock, Arkansas, as structural, fire and health hazards; to provide for summary abatement procedures; to direct City Staff to take such action as is necessary to raze and remove said structures; **to declare an emergency**; and for other purposes. Staff recommends approval.

Synopsis: Nine (9) residential structures in a severely dilapidated, deteriorated and/or burned condition causing a negative environmental impact on the residential neighborhoods in which it is located. All Notices have been mailed in accordance with Arkansas State Law.

19. ORDINANCE NO. 20,954: To dispense with the requirement of competitive bids; to award a contract to the Municipal Health Benefit Fund, operated through the Arkansas Municipal League, to provide coverage for eligible City employees and dependents for 2015; **to declare an emergency;** and for other purposes. Staff recommends approval.

<u>John Gilchrist, 1700 East 2nd Street</u>: Stated that he was the Vice-President of the Little Rock Fraternal Order of the Police. Mr. Gilchrist stated that the organization had major concerns regarding the reduction of benefits for employees at a reduced cost to the City.

Director Hurst stated that during the past week, she had been made aware of the fact that out-of-pocket expenses would increase for employees should the Board award the contract to the Municipal Health Benefit Fund (MHBF). Kurt Knickrehm, with Regions

Insurance, stated that there would be several changes in the out-of-pocket expenses. Mr. Knickrehm reported that the Health Care Reform Act had the requirement that there was a maximum out-of-pocket piece that was referred to as the True Out-of-Pocket, which included deductibles, co-pays, co-insurance, medical expenses, as well as drug expenses. Mr. Knickrehm stated that MHBF had separate deductibles that added up to the out-of-pocket maximum.

Director Hurst asked how long the City would be committed to the contract. City Manager Bruce Moore stated that when he had met with representative from MHBF he had asked the same question and had been told that they did not enter into contracts with cities and that it would be on a month-to-month basis. City Manager Moore stated that he had told them that a month-to-month relationship was not acceptable and that the City Attorney's Office had drafted a Memorandum of Agreement that detailed what MHBF had agreed to do.

The ordinances were read a first time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Cazort, to suspend the rules and place the ordinances on second reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the ordinances were read a second time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Cazort, to suspend the rules and place the ordinances on third reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the ordinances were read a third time. By voice vote of the Board Members present, with Director Hendrix voting Present on Item 19, **the ordinances were approved.** By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, **the emergency clause on Item 18 was approved.** By voice vote of the Board Members present, with Director Hendrix voting Present, **the emergency clause on Item 19 was approved.**

<u>B.J. Hines</u>: Stated that she represented QualChoice and expressed her appreciation to the City for their working relationship over the past several years and hoped that QualChoice would be able to work with the City again in the future.

SEPARATE ITEMS (Items 20 - 21)

20. ORDINANCE NO. 20,957: To amend Article IV of Chapter 6 of the Little Rock Code of Ordinance to clarify the requirements for keeping a Vietnamese Pot-Bellied Pig within the corporate limits of the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; to limit the number of Vietnamese Pot-Bellied Pigs allowed in any one (1) household; **to declare an emergency;** and for other purposes. Staff recommends approval.

Synopsis: Current language in Chapter 6 of the Little Rock Code of Ordinances appears to conflict where a section allows Pot-Bellied Pigs and a different section of the Chapter 6 specifies conditions to keep hoofed animals within the City Limits.

The ordinance was read a first time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Hurst, to suspend the rules and place the ordinance on second reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the ordinance was read a second time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Hurst, to suspend the rules and place the ordinance on third reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the ordinance was read a third time.

Director Cazort stated that when the Board passed the initial ordinance, which allowed Pot-Bellied Pigs, they had no idea that there was another ordinance that was in conflict. Director Cazort stated that he did not have an issue with the ordinance as it related prospectively; however, he was not one that would agree to take anyone's pet away from them. Director Cazort stated that he would like to propose an amendment to the ordinance by adding a section that stated, "It would apply to all Pot-Bellied Pigs that were located within the corporate City Limits that were not registered in a manner set forth by the City Manager as of January 1, 2015. The registration would include the name and address of the owner, photograph of the animal, the name of the animal and the veterinary that treats the animal, and any change in information would be reported to the City within thirty (30) days." Director Cazort stated that the amendment would allow those that currently had Pot-Bellied Pigs within the City to keep them; however, anyone that obtained a Pot-Bellied Pig after January 1, 2015, would have to subscribe to the 300-foot limit. Director Cazort made the motion to amend the ordinance to add the additional language; however, the motion failed due to the lack of a second.

<u>Carla Coleman</u>: Stated that she did not wish to address the Board; however, she wanted the record to reflect that she was in support of the proposed ordinance.

<u>Pam Powell, 1104 Arthur Drive</u>: Stated that she did not wish to address the Board; however, she wanted the record to reflect that she was in support of the proposed ordinance.

Marion Gavin, 14109 Rivercrest Drive: Stated that she was a pet owner and she felt that the pig in question was in fact a pet and part of the owner's family. Ms. Gavin asked the Board to show compassion and compromise and allow the pig to remain with her family. Pat Gee, 8409 Dowan Drive: Stated that she did not feel that a hooved animal should be allowed within the City Limits. Ms. Gee stated that the previous summer, the owner of the pig had attended a Little Rock Animal Village Advisory Board Meeting and brought a sack of pig manure to the meeting. Ms. Gee stated that her main concern was the issue of sanitation and she urged the Board to support the ordinance.

Jyll Latham, 9002 Penrose Lane: Stated that she was the owner of the pig and that before she obtained her pig, she had adhered to the ordinance that was passed in 1992, which allowed a Vietnamese Pot-Bellied Pig within the City Limits. Ms. Latham stated that she disputed the complaint of smell from her backyard, as she personally picked-up the waste in her yard every day. Ms. Latham stated that she did not think it was fair to adopt the ordinance without a grandfather clause included that would allow the current pigs to remain in the City. Ms. Latham stated that she understood the need to clarify language in ordinances; however, she did not feel that it should be at her family's expense.

Mollie Irvin, 507 Deerbrook Road: Ms. Irvin stated that the pig excretes its waste along the fence line, which was located only fifteen (15) feet from the adjacent neighbor's back door. Ms. Irvin stated that from the very beginning, all the neighbors had asked was that the owner keep her back yard cleaned; however, she did not clean it on a regular basis. Ms. Irvin stated that the back yard was unsanitary and that it smelled.

<u>James LaBorde, 5515 Wren Road</u>: Stated that he did not wish to address the Board; however, he wanted the record to reflect that he was in support of the proposed ordinance.

Ruth Turner, 9020 Clover Hill Road: Stated that she did not wish to address the Board; however, she wanted the record to reflect that she was in support of the proposed ordinance.

Rhonda Ross, 2508 South Valentine Street: Stated that she did not wish to address the Board; however, she wanted the record to reflect that she was in support of the proposed ordinance.

<u>Felicia Wilbanks, 9005 Penrose Lane</u>: Stated that she did not wish to address the Board; however, she wanted the record to reflect that she was in support of the proposed ordinance.

Ruth Bell, 7611 Briarwood Drive: Stated that she did not wish to address the Board; however, she wanted the record to reflect that she was in support of the proposed ordinance.

Director Kumpuris asked for a legal explanation of the issue at hand. City Attornev Tom Carpenter stated that there were two (2) ordinances that conflicted. City Attorney Carpenter stated that one of the ordinances stated that all hooved animals must be maintained at least 300 feet away from adjacent residences. City Attorney Carpenter stated that there had been a general ban regarding hogs within the City; however, an exception was made for the Vietnamese Pot-Bellied Pig breed. City Attorney Carpenter stated that Animal Services Staff read the two (2) ordinances together, which was appropriate if possible, that stated that a Pot-Bellied Pig was allowed; however, it had to be located at least 300 feet from adjacent residences. City Attorney Carpenter stated that the ordinance was penal in nature, meaning there was fine provision involved, it read strictly and if there was an ambiguity involved, the ambiguity was resolved in favor of the defendant. City Attorney Carpenter reported that the District Court had ruled that there was an ambiguity involved; therefore, the defendant had prevailed. City Attorney Carpenter stated that the proposed ordinance would remove any ambiguity. Director Fortson stated that he had received a letter that threatened a lawsuit should the Board pass the ordinance. City Attorney Carpenter stated that he had not located a constitutional right to have a pet and that in the State of Arkansas, certain animals could be banned by City Ordinance. City Attorney Carpenter stated that several cities had banned Pit Bulls and the action had been upheld by the Arkansas State Supreme Court.

Vice-Mayor Wright stated that she was not a pet owner, but that she was a home owner and she felt that she should be able to enjoy her home and walk in her yard without the smell of a farm in the air.

By voice vote of the Board Members present, with Director Cazort voting in opposition, the ordinance was approved. By voice vote of the Board Members present, with Director Cazort voting in opposition, the emergency clause was approved.

21. ORDINANCE NO. 20,956: To recognize Transportation Network Companies and to incorporate the regulation of such companies into the City's Transportation Code; to provide for the issuance of appropriate permits for such a company and for such a driver; **to declare an emergency**; and for other purposes.

The ordinance was read a first time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Hurst, to suspend the rules and place the ordinance on second reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the

ordinance was read a second time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Hurst, to suspend the rules and place the ordinance on third reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the ordinance was read a third time.

Director Wyrick stated that she had been advised to disclose that her son-in-law was a partner in the law firm that represented the Transportation Network Companies (TNC); however, there was not a conflict.

<u>Bill Spivey</u>: Stated that he was local legal counsel for Uber Technologies. Mr. Spivey stated that when the discussion began several weeks prior, his client had offered provisions for an ordinance and in the weeks since, there had been extensive discussions and that the ordinance on the agenda drew upon provisions offered by Uber, along with other provisions drafted by City Attorney Carpenter.

<u>Dave Barrmore</u>: Stated that he was with Uber Technologies and that he believed the ordinance was a step in the right direction for ride-sharing in Little Rock. Mr. Barrmore stated that they did have one concern regarding an insurance issue; however, he thought that his concern could be alleviated through additional discussion.

Director Hurst asked if the proposed ordinance was fairly consistent with ordinances from other municipalities. Mr. Barrmore stated that it was different in that several other jurisdictions had created a new section of the Code and the proposed ordinance put in different TNC provisions into the existing Transportation Code. City Attorney Carpenter stated that the proposed ordinance amended the Code and the portion that dealt with the TNCs would be a separate section, such as the sections that dealt with taxis, sedans, limousines and horse-drawn carriages.

<u>Peggy Nakamoto</u>: Stated that she had become acquainted with Uber after a trip to New York and that she after conducting research, she had become an Uber driver in order to earn additional income.

Amin Amarshi, 625 West Capitol Avenue: Stated that he had lived in Little Rock for fifteen (15) years and he was a fan of Uber. Mr. Amarshi stated that he did not think that there needed to be any amendments made and felt that there were provisions that Uber should not have to adhere to. Mr. Amarshi stated that there were other technology companies that were not registered with the Secretary of State, and did not pay franchise fees nor have insurance on their guests.

Rowena Hampton, P.O. Box 191824: Stated that she felt the Uber had pushed their way into Little Rock. Ms. Hampton stated that other Sates had experienced multiple issues with Uber and urged the Board not to invite them into Little Rock.

Director Adcock asked why Uber would come into Little Rock without obtaining the proper permits. Mr. Barrmore stated that Uber was currently offering free rides, while they were working towards an agreement with the City. Director Adcock asked how complaints would be addressed regarding Uber drivers. City Manager Bruce Moore stated they would deal with Uber complaints the same way complaints with the cab company were dealt with. Director Adcock stated that she had received and e-mail from an individual that had utilized Uber and that when the individual exited the automobile, the driver gave them his phone number so that they could call him directly without having to utilize the Uber app. Director Adcock asked if the passenger would be

covered by the driver's insurance if they did not book the ride through the Uber App. City Attorney Carpenter stated that if it was a typical policy and the driver charged the client for a ride, they would not be covered. City Attorney Carpenter stated that Uber had made it clear that those type of activities would not be tolerated and that he had shared the information with Uber representatives. Mr. Barrmore stated that it was Uber's policy that should those activities be discovered, the partner (driver) would be deactivated. Director Adcock asked if they had received permission to utilize the Clinton National Airport. Mr. Barrmore stated that they were currently in discussion with representatives from the airport. City Attorney Carpenter stated the airport had been receiving drafts of the ordinance and that they had made the request to have the language included that there would be signage added to identify the vehicle as an Uber vehicle.

Director Hines stated that if there were other changes that needed to be made to the Transportation Code to assist the taxi cab or limousine companies in becoming more competitive, he would be more than willing to discuss changes with the various parties. Director Wyrick asked how Uber responded to the complaints that had been received in other municipalities. Mr. Barrmore stated that most of the complaints were from the Better Business Bureau regarding their rate surge pricing, which was their policy of raising fares during a busier time or event. Director Hurst asked what the concerns were with the insurance. Mr. Barrmore stated that as it stood, the insurance section explicitly stated that the carrier needed to be authorized in the State of Arkansas and the concern was that their insurance company was a surplus lines carrier and they were not authorized in the State of Arkansas. City Attorney Carpenter stated that he had spoken with the Arkansas Insurance Department and was told that the City's language would address that issue; however, he would conduct further research and if the language needed to be tweaked, it could be amended at a later time. Director Adcock stated that she had been told that Uber would not be providing copies of the background checks on their drivers to the City and asked if the City currently received the background checks from the taxi cab and limousine companies. City Attorney Carpenter stated that the City did receive copies of the background checks; however, there had been discussion regarding whether the City should keep them or not. City Attorney Carpenter stated that a TNC would have to obtain an Arkansas State Police approved background check and that the City would see the copy; however, the company would actually keep the document.

Director Hines made the motion, seconded by Director Cazort, to call the question on the vote. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, **the question to vote was called.**

By voice vote of the Board Members present, with Directors Hendrix and Adcock voting in opposition and Vice-Mayor Wright voting Present, **the ordinance was approved.** By voice vote of the Board Members present, with Directors Hendrix and Adcock voting in opposition and Vice-Mayor Wright voting Present, **the emergency clause failed.**

Director Cazort stated that with the Board's approval, he would like to recess the meeting until November 25, 2014, to give City Attorney Carpenter more time to review the issue regarding insurance, and if the requested language from Uber was needed, an

amendment could be drafted to include the additional language to address the issue of insurance.

PUBLIC HEARING (Item 22)

22. ORDINANCE NO. 20,955; G-23-452: To abandon the undeveloped West 31st Street from John Barrow Road, east 107.55 feet to a platted north-south alley, in the City of Little Rock, Arkansas; and for other purposes. *Planning Commission: 9 ayes; 0 nays; and 2 absent. Staff recommends approval.*

Synopsis: The adjacent property owners propose the abandon a forty (40)-foot wide undeveloped right-of-way for West 31st Street adjacent to Lot 7, Block 148 John Barrow Addition and Lot 12, Block 147 John Barrow Addition.

The ordinance was read a first time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Hurst, to suspend the rules and place the ordinance on second reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the ordinance was read a second time. Director Adcock made the motion, seconded by Director Cazort, to suspend the rules and place the ordinance on third reading. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the rules were suspended and the ordinance was read a third time.

Vice-Mayor Wright opened the public hearing and asked if there were individuals present that wished to speak for or against the item. There being none present, Vice-Mayor Wright closed the public hearing.

By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the ordinance was approved.

CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

<u>Pat Gee, 8409 Dowan Drive</u>: Stated that she would like to defer her topic until a later date.

Director Cazort made the motion, seconded by Director Kumpuris, to recess the meeting until November 25, 2015, for the purpose of further research regarding the insurance requirements as it relates to TNCs. By unanimous voice vote of the Board Members present, the meeting was recessed until November 25, 2015.

ATTEST:	APPROVED:	
	Marti Ctadala Mayer	
Susan Langley, City Clerk	Mark Stodola, Mayor	